Finanziato dall'Unione europea
NextGenerationEU

Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca

Segretariato Generale

Direzione Generale della Ricerca

PRIN: PROGETTI DI RICERCA DI RILEVANTE INTERESSE NAZIONALE – Bando 2022

Prot. 202247TFMW

PART A

1. Research project title

Enhancing the autonomy of vulnerable adults in legal matters

2. Duration (months)

24 months

3. Main ERC field

SH - Social Sciences and Humanities

4. Possible other ERC field

5. ERC subfields

1. SH2_4 Legal studies, constitutions, human rights, comparative law
2. SH7_4 Social aspects of health, ageing and society
3.

6. Keywords

nº	Testo inglese
1.	Vulnerable adults
2.	Enhancement of autonomy
3.	Judicial and extrajudicial means of protection
4.	Power of attorney
5.	Advance directives
6.	Cross border situations

7. Principal Investigator

BARGELLI (Surname)	**ELENA** (Name)
Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10) (Qualification)	
23/10/1969 (Date of birth)	**BRGLNE69R63I726Y** (Personal identification code)
Università di PISA (Organization)	
00390502212432 (Phone number)	**elena.bargelli@unipi.it** (E-mail address)

PI - Certified E_mail (CEM)

bargelli@legalmail.it

8. List of the Research Units

nº	Associated Investigator	Qualification	University/ Research Institution	Registered office (address)	Operating office (address)	e-mail address
1.	BARGELLI Elena	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università di PISA	Lungarno Pacinotti, 43/44 - PISA (PI)	City: Pisa (PI) Address Via Del Collegio Ricci	elena.bargelli@unipi.it
2.	D'ALESSANDRO Elena	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di TORINO	Via Verdi, 8 - TORINO (TO)	City: Torino (TO) Address Lungo Dora Siena 100	elena.dalessandro@gmail.com
3.	GIROLAMI Matilde	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di PADOVA	Via 8 Febbraio, 2 - PADOVA (PD)	City: Padova (PD) Address Via VIII Febbraio, 1848/2	matilde.girolami@unipd.it
4.	FRANZINA Pietro	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	Largo Gemelli, 1 - MILANO (MI)	City: Milano (MI) Address Largo A. Gemelli, 1	pietro.franzina@unicatt.it

9 - Substitute Principal Investigator (To be identified among one of the associated investigators participating in the project).

GIROLAMI (Surname)	**MATILDE** (Name)
Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10) (Qualification)	
10/07/1972 (Date of birth)	**GRLMLD72L50L551J** (Personal identification code)
Università degli Studi di PADOVA (Organization)	
049-8273342 (Phone number)	**matilde.girolami@unipd.it** (E-mail address)

Substitute PI - Certified E_mail (CEM)

matilde.girolami@ordineavvocatipadova.it

10. Brief description of the proposal

The project stresses the need to ensure and promote autonomy and self-determination of vulnerable adults (adults who, by reason of an impairment or insufficiency of their personal faculties, are not in a position to protect their interests) in legal matters, in line with the principles proclaimed by the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD). This emphasizes both the need to respect of their dignity and recognize legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life.
Accordingly, the project pursues the aim of modernizing legal tools concerning their legal status,
especially vis a vis the rapid aging of society, and identifying innovative legal solutions that enhance the autonomy of vulnerable adults in judicial and extra-judicial proceedings, while at the same time ensuring their protection.
In particular, the Italian legal system currently achieves the protection of vulnerable adults essentially through the judicial appointment of a legal representative charged with acting on behalf of (or assisting) the protected person in the performance of all or some acts, while awarding very limited means of supporting vulnerable adults in the exercise of their capacity and managing patrimonial and non-patrimonial matters for the time when they lose their capacity of choice.
Equally in need of urgent review are the rules of private international law that currently determine, in cross-border cases, the jurisdiction of Italian courts to issue measures aimed to support adults in the exercise of their legal capacity, and the law applicable to such support. Italy has signed, but not yet ratified, the Hague Convention of 13 January 2000 on the international protection of adults.
Against this background, the project pursues the following objectives: a) exploring new approaches to situations where vulnerable adults need support in the exercise of legal capacity, drafting interpretative guidelines which, de iure condito, could help solve practical questions in conformity with the UNCRPDAs and, de iure condendo, could offer the basis for a boarder legislative reform on the matter, and the form and content that it should have; b) establishing new powers of representation based on consent and, more specifically, designing the desirable model of lasting powers of attorney the future legislator might introduce into the Italian legal system; c) exploring new ex lege powers of representation; d) monitoring the initiatives of the Government delegated to enact the measures introduced by the above mentioned law; e) verifying whether and to what extent the dejudicialization of the means of supporting the exercise of legal capacity strikes a right balance between autonomy and protection of vulnerable adults; f) suggesting the ratification of the Hague Convention and proper legislative measures to implement the Convention in the domestic legal system.

11. Total cost of the research project identified by items

Associated Investigator	item A.1	item A.2.1	item B	item C	item D	item E	sub-total	Total
BARGELLI Elena	24.000	30.000	32.400	0	0	3.000	89.400	**89.400**
D'ALESSANDRO Elena	19.036	30.000	29.422	0	0	3.000	81.458	**81.458**
GIROLAMI Matilde	24.000	30.000	32.400	0	0	3.000	89.400	**89.400**
FRANZINA Pietro	13.727	24.000	22.636	0	5.000	2.000	67.363	**67.363**
Total	**80.763**	**114.000**	**116.858**	**0**	**5.000**	**11.000**	**327.621**	**327.621**

N.B. The Item B and TOTAL columns will be filled in automatically

- item A.1: enhancement of months/person of permanent employees
- item A.2.1: cost of contracts of non-employees, specifically to recruit
- item B: overhead (flat rate equal to 60% of the total personnel cost, A.1+A.2.1, for each research unit)
- item C: cost of equipment, tools and software products
- item D: cost of consulting and similar services
- item E: other operating costs

PART B

B.1

1. State of the art

The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) proclaims the full and equal enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms by persons with disabilities, promotes the respect of their dignity, and underlines their need to enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life. Accordingly, States must ensure and promote their autonomy and self-determination of vulnerable adults (adults who, by reason of an impairment or insufficiency of their personal faculties, are not in a position to protect their interests), also by modernizing legal tools concerning their legal status.
Against this background, the Italian legal framework seems unsatisfactory and in urgent need of reform – especially vis a vis the rapid aging of society, which challenges individual autonomy and social cohesion in unprecedented ways.
Traditionally, the Italian legal system envisaged two forms of protection, interdizione giudiziale and inabilitazione (artt. 414 ff., c.c.), through which judges could reduce or remove the legal capacity of adults with mental disorders and appoint a legal representative to assist or act on behalf of the protected person in all or some legal acts. In 2004, a new tool –amministrazione di sostegno (artt. 404 ff., cc.)– was introduced, where the judge appoints, possibly among family members, a support administrator to replace or assist the vulnerable persons in the performance of the acts enumerated in the judicial decree instituting the protection, while the latter retain the ability to perform all other acts.
Moreover, Italian law lacks appropriate legal rules to deal with cases where mental incapacity is a permanent rather than a temporary condition (as artt. 428 cc. ff assume), but no guardian has been timely appointed. Finally, no ex lege representation by a family member as soon as the person concerned loses their decision-making capacity is allowed in Italy.
Against this gap, general powers of attorney (procura generale: art. 1388 cc.) are often used to enable a person to take care of the interests of a frail person. However, as Italian notaries indicate, this means has several shortcomings: it is prone to abuse, since it encompasses in principle all the interests of the grantor; it raises difficulties whenever the grantor loses their capacity after the power of attorney has been given, with the consequence that the adult concerned is no longer in the position to over activity of the grantee; the content of the general power of attorney is restricted to patrimonial acts, and is of no avail as regards the welfare and personal interests of the adult concerned.
On their part, family members do not have general powers of substitution when their dear ones no longer are able to express their wills, and are only allowed to make specific choices on behalf of the person (basically in medical matters and organs transplantations).
Even the advance treatment directives introduced by Law 219/2017 have revealed deficiencies and inconsistencies in their practical application.
Finally, many of these proceeding overburden judicial activities with high figures of pending proceedings, where the enormous workload of the judiciary not only represents a challenge for the overall judicial system, but also limit the effectiveness of the envisaged protection.
Indeed, Under the Law No. 206/2021, the Italian Government has been delegated to adopt one or more decrees to reform the civil justice system, to reduce the duration of Italian civil proceedings by at least 40%. To this end, the delegating law purports to promote the use of out-of-courts mechanism as alternatives to judicial proceedings aiming at adjudicating a case and to judicial non contentious proceedings aimed at protecting vulnerable persons. With respect to the latter, the Government is planning to delegate tasks currently allocated to the courts to notaries and other and public administrations. Access to justice will remain guaranteed by the possibility of starting a judicial against the decision of the notary o public administrators.
Equally in need of urgent review are the rules of private international law that currently determine, in cross-border cases, the jurisdiction of Italian courts to issue measures aimed to support adults in the exercise of their legal capacity, and the law applicable to such support. Italy has signed, but not yet ratified, the Hague Convention of 13.1.2000 on the international protection of adults, which is becoming the international standard in this area. Ratification is largely considered to be desirable. However, it would hardly be sufficient without proper legislative measures to implement the Convention in the domestic legal system. The project intends to devise appropriate implementation measures. In addition, if the Convention is to be ratified, the need will arise to familiarize practitioners with its rules. The project purports to craft dedicated tools to this end.

2. Detailed description of the project: methodologies, objectives and results that the project aims to achieve and its interest for the advancement of knowledge, as well as methods of dissemination of the results achieved

The proposed project takes the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) as the general and overarching legal framework and source of inspiration for its aims. According to the objectives and general guidelines traced by the UNCRPD (see §B.1), States Parties are required to take appropriate steps for granting persons with disabilities with access to the support they may require in the exercise of their legal capacity, while at the same time guaranteeing their right to make mistakes and take risks, which is an expression of full capacity, autonomy, and dignity. All measures concerning the exercise of legal capacity must provide for appropriate and effective safeguards to prevent abuse, respect the rights, will, and preferences of the protected person; they must protect them from possible conflicts of interest and undue influence; be proportional and tailored to the person's circumstances, apply for the shortest time possible, and be subject to regular review by a competent, independent and impartial authority or judicial body.
Accordingly, the project's main aim is to identify and propose innovative legal solutions that enhance the autonomy of vulnerable adults in judicial and extra-judicial proceedings, while at the same time ensuring their protection.
To achieve this overarching goal, the project is articulated in six working packages (WP), each dedicated to a specific objective, aiming at specific practical results, and consisting of coordinated and individual activities by the project partners, through a varied and interdisciplinary methodology. Overall, the WPs aim at solving both cross-border issues (WP1 and WP6), as well as substantive (WP2, WP3, WP4) and procedural (WP5) ones that arise at the national level.

1. WP1 – THE UNCRPD AND OTHER HUMAN RIGHTS INSTRUMENTS
A thorough study of the UNCRPD and other international instruments that relate to the protection of vulnerable adults is needed to set out the relevant policy framework, common to the said objectives. The approach, here, will be based on the study on the international obligations undertaken by Italy and their interplay with the relevant principles of the Constitution, considering the case-law of the Italian Constitutional Court.

2. WP2 – DESIGN OF SUPPORT IN THE EXERCISE OF CAPACITY IN LEGAL MATTERS
Under WP2, the project will review the Italian amministrazione di sostegno (artt. 404 ff., cc.), which was added in 2004 as a flexible and targeted measure to replace or assist vulnerable persons in the performance of the acts enumerated in the judicial decree instituting the protection. After almost twenty years this measure needs to be modernized to further enhance the autonomy and dignity of assisted persons. Despite being originally designed to protect vulnerable persons, the interdizione giudiziale and the inabilitazione are based on an outdated impingement on the vulnerable persons' legal capacity, being aimed to appoint a general substitute of the vulnerable adult in any legal matter (tutore) or a mandatory assistant (for any legally relevant transaction, whose consent is required for its validity (curatore). Both instruments seem to be no longer in line with international standards, whereas the aim of the project is to see whether, and subject to which developments, the amministrazione di sostegno can be brought in line with the UNCRPD requirement that it respects the dignity, individual autonomy and freedom to make one's own choices; the independence of vulnerable adults; non-discrimination; the full and effective participation and inclusion in society.
To identify and assess the status quo on guardianship, the project will rely on a combination of desk-research and empirical qualitative research. In particular, it will (i) perform an extensive collection of Italian case-law on the measures adopted for the protection of vulnerable adults to highlight good and bad practices; and (ii) involve, through structured and unstructured interviews, the relevant professionals involved in guardianship proceedings (judges, lawyers).
Results wise, it is contemplated that the traditional measures based on substitute-decision making and incapacitation (interdizione giudiziale, inabilitazione) may need to be abrogate, whereas the rules concerning supported-decision making (amministrazione di sostegno) may need modernization, to further enhance vulnerable adults' autonomy and dignity. In particular, the project will explore new approaches to situations where vulnerable adults need support in the exercise of legal capacity, drafting interpretative guidelines which, de iure condito, could help solve practical questions in conformity with the UNCRPDAs and, de iure condendo, could offer the basis for a boarder legislative reform on the matter, and the form and content that it should have.

3. WP3 – ESTABLISHING NEW POWERS OF REPRESENTATION BASED ON CONSENT
WP3's moves from the observation that, under the Italian legal framework –based on the anticipate directives of treatment (Law 219/2017) and the traditional general powers of attorney (procura generale: see above B1)– vulnerable adults have very limited powers to manage and plan patrimonial and non-patrimonial matters for the time when they may lack the capacity of choice (B1). On the one hand, the anticipated directive of treatments is restricted to medical choices. On the other, the procura generale is structured around the idea that the principal has a lasting legal capacity, and is capable of revoke the attorney's power in case of supervening loss of trust.
Against the lack of generalized powers of representation in case where subjects permanently lose their mental capacity, and particularly when they have not yet been subjected to guardianship, WP3 will undertake the innovative and ground-breaking task of investigating what type of powers could be attributed to attorneys, either under an agreement or by a unilateral act, to be exercised when the adult concerned is no longer capable to protect his or her interests.
In particular, WP3 will discuss the introduction of more targeted and flexible contractual means of delegating powers of representations, inspired by foreign legislation (such as the English lasting powers of attorney, the French mandats de protection future, the German Vorsorgevollmachten, etc.).
Here, the project will expand on the desk research performed under WP1 and engage in an extensive collection of Italian case-law concerning the protection of vulnerable adults not subjected to inabilitazione, interdizione, or amministrazione di sostegno, with a view to highlighting good and bad practices, and further understand the extent and impact of the current status quo.
The collaboration of notaries, judiciaries, civil officers as well as medical doctors, will contribute to the inquiry and help validate the results obtained in this phase, ensuring that adequate consideration is given to what issues and needs arise 'on the ground'. Doctors, in particular, will help understand and identify the downsides of the anticipate directives of treatment introduced by Law 219/2017.
In parallel, the project will perform a comparative investigation focused on the legal systems which allow the ex lege power of representation of family members (Austria, Switzerland), in order to assess whether this instrument successfully complements guardianship and power of attorney and works as an effective means of satisfying the interests of the person concerned at least in a restrictive set of situations or it rather might give rise to abuses (see below, WP4) as well as to consider whether, and subject to which variations, such foreign instruments can serve as model for the Italian legislator.
As a result of this investigation, the project will design the desirable model of lasting powers of attorney the future legislator might introduce into the Italian legal system. Meanwhile, the project aims at defining the requirements under which the general powers of attorney (procura generale: art. 1388 cc.) might work as means of making choices for the time the person concerned loses his/her capacity.
It will also be essential to involve dedicated technicians to devise an effective registration system to make the mandate powers of representation easily accessible to courts and hospitals, nursing homes and other facilities.

4. WP4 – ESTABLISHING NEW EX LEGE POWERS OF REPRESENTATION
As a complement to the power of attorney (see WP3 above), the project will discuss the ex lege substitution of family members, who could be automatically allowed to act as representatives in a restricted field of matters as soon as the persons concerned lose their decision-making capacity. In some European jurisdictions (such as Switzerland and Austria) this power is expressly granted to family members (parents, children over 18 years, spouse, registered homosexual partner) for a restricted field of acts. In Italy, the power of representation is not provided for in general terms, as family members are rather allowed to make specific choices on behalf of the person (basically in medical matters and organs transplantations), when the latter is no longer able to express his/her will.
Again, the investigation will be based on the comparative method, based on a research focused on the legal systems where this measure is in force.
To be pointed out is that this means of managing the interests of a person who has lost his/her power of decision-making is in itself the most controversial, as, according to a well-established opinion, it might give rise to abuses or be not in line with the will of the person concerned. This is why the aim of the project is to investigate the pros and cons of this measure as a potential complement rather than an alternative to the power of attorney (see WP3 above). Therefore, WP4 will result in a proposal in favour or against this measure.

5. WP5 ASSESSING THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF DEJUDICIALIZATION OF THE MEANS OF SUPPORT IN THE EXERCISE OF CAPACITY IN LEGAL MATTERS
WP5's starting point is the newly enacted Law 206/2021, whose purpose is to enhance the effectiveness of the means of protection of vulnerable adults and speed up the related proceedings. As a matter of fact, claims for judicial decisions appointing the amministratore di sostegno, tutore or curatore (see WP2) overburden judicial activities with high figures of pending proceedings, where the enormous workload of the judiciary not only represents a challenge for the overall judicial system but also limit the effectiveness of the envisaged protection.
Art. 1, para. 13 of this Law generally refers to non-contentious proceedings without any explicit reference to the judicial measures of protection for vulnerable adults (art. 404-413 cc.), whose nature is debated among Italian scholars. While some scholars qualify this judicial proceeding as non-contentious in nature, others think otherwise, as conflicts may arise between vulnerable adults and their family members, requiring the adoption of adjudicatory measures. Therefore, it will be for the Italian Government, in exercising its power to implement the delegation law, to decide whether to adopt an out-of-court approach in such field and, in that case, how to accommodate the necessary presence of the public prosecutor (art. 407 cc.). Indeed, the Government is planning to delegate tasks currently allocated to the courts to notaries and other public administrations. Access to justice will remain guaranteed by the possibility of starting a judicial proceeding against the decision of the notary o public administrators.
Against this changing legal framework, WP5 is aimed at: a) monitoring the initiatives of the Government delegated to enact the measures introduced by Law 206/2021; b) Verifying whether and to what extent the dejudicialization of the means of supporting the exercise of legal capacity strikes a right balance between autonomy and protection of vulnerable adults.
Thus, WP5 will requires the involvement of notaries and judges in order to understand the implications of dejudicialization and develop appropriate guidelines. In particular, the latter should ensure that vulnerable adults are effectively informed and supported in their decisions in a clear and transparent way. For example, the guidelines might require the notaries to provide for interpreters or interdisciplinary teams explaining the contractual terms and the implications of the support, as well as easy-to-read formats. Technical aspects –such as the organization of a registry for the power of attorney (WP4)– will play a relevant role.
The results to be expected under objective 5 will be a study focusing on pros and cons attitudes towards the dejudicialization of guardianship and drafting guidelines addressed to professionals involved in out-of-court proceedings.

6. WP6 – ENHANCING THE PROTECTION OF VULNERABLE ADULTS IN CROSS-BORDER CASES
WP6 aims to assess the means whereby Italy could enhance access by vulnerable adults to the support they may require in cross-border situations, where their personal or financial interests happen to be located in more than one State. Italy has signed but has not yet ratified the Hague Convention of 13 January 2000 on the International Protection of Adults (the Hague Adults Convention). The domestic rules of private international law that currently apply in this area (Artt. 3, 9, 43, 44, 64, 65 and 66 of the Law 218/1995) are not fully consistent with the UNCRPD: (1) the rules on jurisdiction are likely to result in positive conflicts of jurisdiction, and provide no means to manage such conflicts; (2) the rules on the applicable law often lead to the application of foreign law, which makes the tasks of both courts and administrators more difficult to carry out; (3) no indication is given in the current legal framework as regards powers of representation granted by an adult in contemplation of a loss of autonomy: this is likely to make it impossible for the adults concerned and his their attorney to rely on such powers. All in all, a high risk exists, today, that where a cross-border element is present the adult in need of support may be unable to access the support they might need to exercise their legal capacity in a way that is efficient and complete, as the UNCRPD requires. The project intends to assess the benefits and shortcomings that the possible ratification of the Convention would bring. It also intends to identify the changes in domestic legislation that Italy would need to enact to ensure the proper implementation of the Convention at the domestic level. More generally, this WP involves a thorough study of the Hague Adults Convention, also with a view to familiarizing practitioners with the provisions therein. This could be done by preparing a detailed article-by-article commentary of the Convention. The results of the project will consist in: legislative proposals, interpretative proposals of the current legal framework, reference works (notably, a commentary on the Hague Adults Convention) and practical guidelines.

The dissemination will be organized as follows:
- A website dedicated to the project will be set up.
- A seminar, in Milan, devoted to the UNCRPD and other international human rights instruments on the protection of vulnerable adults, and their interplay with the Italian Constitution, followed by the publication of a practical guide aimed primarily at practitioners.
- An in-between internal seminar will be organized at the end of the first year to collect input from the relevant stakeholders (notaries, judges, civil officers).
- A final conference where the results of the project will be presented, to be held in Pisa, where academics, notaries, judges and medical specialists will be invited.
- One or more volumes collecting the results of the project, including a commentary on the Hague Adults Convention, will be published.

3. Project development, with identification of the role of each research unit, with regards to related modalities of integration and collaboration

The project is articulated in 4 research units.

The University of Pisa (unipi) will coordinate the research project.

As to research tasks, the University of Pisa will deal with the traditional approach to the capacity of vulnerable adults and, more specifically, with the judicial and ex lege powers of representation of family members (see above, WP2 and WP4). Firstly, it will point out the downsides of the existing judicial proceedings („amministrazione di sostegno", inabilitazione, interdizione), by identifying the existing barriers to an efficient and effective enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms by all vulnerable adults, with the aim of promoting respect for their dignity. Secondly, it will explore the ex lege power of representation as means of managing patrimonial and/or medical matters of persons who are not in a position to protect their interests by their own.

Stage 1 (months 1-10) will be devoted to a field-research conducted by interviewing judges ("giudici tutelari") and family lawyers in Pisa and Lucca.

Based on the empirical research in Stage 1, in Stage 2 (months 11 -20) the Unipi unit will carry out desk-research on critical issues arising from the current judicial proceedings. It will conduct also a comparative analysis of jurisdictions allowing the ex lege power of representation of family members.

Finally, in Stage 3 (months 21-24) the Unipi unit will draft a final report of the results of the activities carried out in Stage 1 and Stage 2. The electronic version of the final report will be presented in a final conference to the stakeholders (partners, judges, bar associations, associations supporting vulnerable adults and representing administrators of support) and disseminated online through the most common social media platforms.

The University of Padua (unipd) will deal with the introduction of the anticipated power of attorney in the Italian legal system as a way of overcoming the limits of the current general power of attorney based on the existing rules of the civil code (see above, WP3). In order to pursue with goal, the Padua unit will identify the current barriers to the full development of personality rights of frail and vulnerable adults who are not under guardianship. In addition, it will conduct an in-depth comparative analysis of the anticipated power of attorney in some foreign legal systems to investigate whether any legal transplant or adaptation can be envisaged.

The aim of the research unit of the University of Turin (unito) is that of verifying, whether and to what extent the protection of vulnerable adults shall be transferred to notaries or public authorities for a more effective and quick protection of vulnerable adults, in the light of what will be provided by the Italian Government (see above, WP5).

The work of the Unito unit will be organized in three stages.

Stage 1 (months 1-10) will be devoted to a field-research. The empirical study will be realized through semi-structured interviews conducted by the Unito unit among judges ("giudici tutelari") and family lawyers active in the 7 tribunals of the Piedmont Region (Alessandria, Asti, Biella, Cuneo, Torino, Vercelli, Ossola-Verbania). Additional semi-structured interviews will be conducted with relevant public authorities (for instance the Ufficio di pubblica tutela della città metropolitana di Torino, a public body which offer pro bono legal advice to administrators of support, especially when family members) and national and local associations protecting vulnerable adults (such as the Federazione italiana Alzheimer), as well as national and local associations of support administrators. The empirical study will aim at identifying, for instance: i) how often the person requesting the appointment of a support administrator is the vulnerable adult; ii) how often the support administrator is appointed in a situation in which the vulnerable adult has express his/her dissent against the appointment; iii) how often the support administrator replaces the vulnerable person in decisions concerning personal affairs (for example, in expressing the informed consent). The end of stage 1 will be marked by a one-day workshop in which the findings of the empirical study will be presented and discussed with members of the other units, judges, bar associations, and associations protecting vulnerable adults and representing administrators of support.

On the basis of the empirical research done in Stage 1, in Stage 2 (months 11 -20) the Unito unit will carry out desk-research on the possible factual and procedural benefits/barriers that a dejurisdictionalisation of the protection of vulnerable adults may cause in the framework of what will be provided by the Italian Government in the delegated law ("decreto delegato"). If the Government will remain silent in respect of a dejurisdictionalisation of such kind of proceedings, the research done by the Unito unit will serve as a risk assessment/added value study for future legislative initiatives. The findings of the research will be presented and discussed with the stakeholders in a one-day workshop.

Finally, in Stage 3 (months 21-24) the Unito unit will draft a final report of the results of the activities carried out in Stage 1 and Stage 2. The electronic version of the final report will be presented in a final conference to the stakeholders (partners, judges, bar associations, associations supporting vulnerable adults and representing administrators of support) and disseminated online through the most common social media platforms.

The research unit at Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (Unicatt) will focus on two issues (see above, WP1 and WP6): (1) the international obligations of Italy that enshrine the fundamental right of vulnerable adults to access support for the exercise of their legal capacity (hereinafter, the human rights issue); (2) the protection of adults in cross-border situations (hereinafter, the private international law issue).

The work will be carried out in two stages. Stage 1 (months 1-10) will focus on the human rights issue and will basically consist of desk research. The unit plans to organize a seminar involving experts from various fields (constitutional law, EU law, private law, law of civil procedure, public and private international law) focused on Article 12 of the UNCRPD and other international instruments relating to, or otherwise having an impact on, the measures whereby Italian law and Italian authorities provide vulnerable adults with the access they may require in the exercise of legal capacity. Stage 1 will result in a short collection of essays, issued from the seminar, and a practical guide for practitioners, aimed to draw attention on the human rights concerns surrounding the protection of vulnerable adults in a range of scenarios, and explaining how those concerns should be addressed in accordance with the relevant international instruments. Before finalizing the practical guide, the unit will host a seminar to present the draft guide to practitioners, with a view to collecting remarks and suggestions. The final version of the guide will reflect the feedback received.

Stage 2 (months 11-24) will be devoted to the private international law issue. It will consist of desk research and will be devoted to the Hague Convention of 2000 on the International Protection of Adults. The unit plans to produce a detailed article-by-article commentary of the Convention. The commentary will be written in English, but an Italian version will also be prepared, which will also focus on the implementation of the Convention in Italy.

Each research unit requests funds for recruiting a postdoc dealing with its objectives. Furthermore, funds are requested for a volume collecting the results of the project and a Commentary on the Hague Convention. Finally, the organization of an academic conference to present and disseminate the results is planned at the end of the project.

4. Possible application potentialities and scientific and/or technological and/or social and/or economic impact

The project will have both a scientific and social/practical impact.

Its scientific impact relies on the assumption that there is little Italian literature in private law matters focused on the means of enhancing the autonomy of vulnerable persons and, therefore, a comprehensive and comparative study on the subject will raise the debate and awareness of more scientists.

The social/practical impact of the project is relevant both de iure condito and de iure condendo.

As regards the current legal framework, the activities planned under WP2 are aimed to improve the existing measures of protection of vulnerable adults and guide professionals and judges to disregard interdizione and inabilitazione and conceive the amministrazione di sostegno as a means of enhancing the autonomy of vulnerable adults and supporting – instead of limiting - their capacity. Exploring new approaches to situations where vulnerable adults need support in the exercise of legal capacity, drafting interpretative guidelines which, de iure condito, could help solve practical questions in conformity with the UNCRPDAs will have an effective impact on the lives of many people.

Secondly and more importantly, the project suggests the need to change the current legal framework. Under this regard, it is aimed to support policymakers in: a) enforcing the pending reform of the guardianship's proceedings (WP5); b) introducing a lasting power of attorney (WP3) and, more generally, offering the basis for a broader legislative reform on the matter, and the form and content that it should have (WP3, WP4); c) improving and making it easier the protection of vulnerable adults in cross-border cases (WP1 and WP6).

5. Financial aspects: costs and funding for each research unit

nº		Total cost (euro)	Co-funding (item A.1) (euro)	MUR funding (other items) (euro)
1.	BARGELLI Elena	89.400	24.000	65.400
2.	D'ALESSANDRO Elena	81.458	19.036	62.422
3.	GIROLAMI Matilde	89.400	24.000	65.400
4.	FRANZINA Pietro	67.363	13.727	53.636
	Total	**327.621**	**80.763**	**246.858**

6. Bibliography

Arianna Fusaro, L'atto patrimoniale della persona vulnerabile, Napoli, 2019
Matilde Girolami, Dalla crisi dell'amministrazione di sostegno al mandato di protezione: un bilancio de iure condendo, in Riv. dir. civ., 2021, 854 ss.
Pietro Franzina, La Convenzione dell'Aja sulla protezione internazionale degli adulti nella prospettiva della ratifica italiana, in R. d. int., 2015, p. 748 ss.
Pietro Franzina, La protezione degli adulti nel diritto internazionale privato, Padova, 2012.
Cian, L'amministrazione di sostegno nel quadro delle esperienze giuridiche europee, in Riv. dir. civ., 2004, II, p. 481
Long, Caring by contract: care arrangements for older people, in Katharina Boele-Woelki, Joanna K. Miles, Jens M. Scherpe (eds.), The Future of Family Property in Europe, Intersentia, 2020, 207 ss.
Lisella, Presupposti dell'amministrazione di sostegno e autodeterminazione del potenziale beneficiario, in Familia, 2021, 481-498
Ho and Lee, Special needs financial planning, Cambridge University Press, 2019, 3-364
Karjalainen, Fragility Of Cross-Border Adult Protection: The Difficult Interplay Of Private International Law With Substantive Law, 2018, in Yearbook of Private International Law, Volume 20 (2018/2019), Otto schmidt Köln, 439-465
Caton-Chapman, In the age of covid, should creating powers of attorney be part of our regular conversation with clients?, in 51 Family law, 2021 1075
MR Corripio Gil Delgado, La protección patrimonial de la persona mayor in Anuario derecho civil, 2020, 101
Majstorović, The protection of elderly family members and the role of the state: a family law perspective, in Katharina Boele-Woelki, Joanna K. Miles, Jens M. Scherpe (eds.), The Future of Family Property in Europe, Intersentia, 2020, 63 ss.
Alofs-Schrama, Elderly Care and Upwards Solidarity, Intersentia, 2020.
Felisa María Corvo López, La capacidad para testar de las personas con discapacidad intelectual in Revista de derecho civil, 2019, 135
R.B. Fleming, Representing the elderly Client or the Client with Diminished Capacity, in 50 Family law quarterly, 2016, 27-48
Vaquer Aloy, La proteccion del testador vulnerble, in Anuario derecho civil, 2015, 327 ss.
Botello Hermosa, Importantes incertidumbres jurídicas que en la actualidad sigue planteando la Ley 41/2003 de Protección Patrimonial de las personas con discapacidad en ámbito del derecho sucesorio español, in Anuario derecho civil, 2018, 339
Fassbind, Vorsorgeauftrag. Risiken und Nebenwirkungen, in: Brennpunkt Familienrecht, Festschrift für Thomas Geiser zum 65. Geburtstag, hrsg. von Roland Fankhauser/Ruth E. Reusser/Ivo Schwander, Zürich/St. Gallen 2017, S. 217-241
Braun- Rothel (eds.) Passing Wealth on Death. Will-Substitutes in Comparative Perspective, Hart publishing, 2016.
H. Fulchirons, A new law for the protection of adults in Atkin (ed.), The international survey of family law, 2008, 107
Alcoz, La ancianidad en el dercho civil, in Rev. derecho privado, 2016, 73 ss.
Montserrat Pereña Vicente, Derechos fundamentales y capacidad jurídica. Claves para una propuesta de reforma legislativa, in Revista de derecho privado, 2016 , págs. 3-40
Milagros Petit Sánchez La adopción de medidas de apoyo para las personas con discapacidad: armonización entre la autonomía de la voluntad y el mejor interés in Revista de derecho civil, 2020, 265
Amelia Sánchez Gómez, Hacia un nuevo tratamiento jurídico de la discapacidad. Reflexiones a propósito del Proyecto de Ley de 17 de julio de 2020 por la que se reforma la legislación civil y procesal para el apoyo a las personas con discapacidad en el ejercicio de su capacidad jurídica in Revista de derecho civil, 2020, 385
Pau, De la incapacitación al apoyo: El nuevo régimen de la discapacidad intelectual en el Código Civil, in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 5
Garcia Rubio, Las medidas de apoyo de carácter voluntario, preventivo o anticipatorio in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 29
José Antonio Escartín Ipiéns, La autocuratela en el Anteproyecto de Ley sobre modificación del Código Civil y otras leyes complementarias en materia de discapacidad in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 85
Pedro A. Munar BernatLa curatela: Principal medida de apoyo de origen judicial para las personas con discapacidad in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 121
Garcia Rubio, Algunas propuestas de reforma del Código Civil como consecuencia del nuevo modelo de discapacidad. En especial en materia de sucesiones, contratos y responsabilidad civil in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 173
Victorio Magariños Blanco, Comentarios a la propuesta para la reforma del Código Civil sobre discapacidad in Revista de derecho civil, 2018, 199
Dabove, M.I. 2018. Autonomía y vulnerabilidad en la vejez: respuestas judiciales emblemáticas. Revista de Derecho Privado. 34 (mar. 2018), 53–85.
François Dupin, Les valeurs éthiques du mandat de protection à l'aune de la jurisprudence, in AA.VV., La protection des personnes vulnerables, Barreau du Quebec - volume 452, Montreal 2019, 203.

B.2

1. Scientific Curriculum of the Principal Investigator

WORK EXPERIENCE

Full Professor of Private Law – Law Department – University of Pisa
* 2015-2020: Full Professor of Private Law – Department of Political Science - University of Pisa
* 2006 - 2015: Associate Professor of Private Law - Department of Political Science - University of Pisa
* 2001 - 2006: Lecturer of Private Law - Department of Economics - University of Pisa

EDUCATION AND TRAINING

* 2014: Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Bonn, Germany) –- Max Planck Institut für ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht of Hamburg (Germany)
* 2011 – 2012 Visiting fellowship award, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, London
* 2008 – 2009 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation's research grant (Max Planck Institut für ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht Hamburg)
* 2007 Visiting Scholar Yale Law School New Haven – USA
* 1999-2001 Postdoctoral grants
* 1999 PHD in Private law

GENERAL AREAS OF INTEREST

o Law of obligations
o Family law
o Comparative law
o European private law

COMPETENCES RELATED TO THE PROJECT

2022: I was commissioned to co-work on the ELI's response to the European
Commission's public consultation on the EU-wide Protection for Vulnerable Adults.
2019 – present: reporters of the ELI project "Non judicial administration of justice in
Family and Succession Matters"
2017-2019: Member of the Advisory Committee of the European Law Institute's project
'Protection of Adults in international situations'
2016 – to present: Chair of the European law Institute's Family and Succession Law
Special Interest Group
2016-2018: Chair of the Membership committee of the European Law Institute's project
'Empowering European Families: Towards More Party Autonomy in European Family and
Succession Law

MEMBERSHIPS OF ASSOCIATIONS

2021 – present Board of directors of the Associazione italiana di diritto civile
2017 – present International Association of Comparative Law, Member
2017 - present Advisory Board of the Institute for European Tort Law, Member
2016-present European Centre of Tort and Insurance Law (ECTIL), Vienna, Member
2015 – 2021 Member of the Council and Membership committee of the European Law Institute

MEMBERSHIP OF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD OF LEADING JOURNALS

o Rivista di diritto civile
o Nuovo diritto civile
o Responsabilità civile e previdenza
o Pactum. Rivista di diritto dei contratti
o Rivista critica diritto privato
o Series: Argomenti di diritto civile, Southern European Housing, Land and Housing
Law and Policy (co-editor)

LANGUAGES

Italian (Mother tongue)
English (Excellent)
German (Good)

2. Scientific Curriculum of the associated investigators

1. D'ALESSANDRO Elena
Elena D'Alessandro – Curriculum vitae

Born on April 11.1972 in Pietrasanta (Lu), Italy

Current Position:

Full Professor of Civil Procedural Law, University of Torino

2011-2019: Associate Professor of Civil Procedural Law, University of Turin

2004-2011: Lecturer of Civil Procedural Law, University of Rom "Tor Vergata"

Education and visiting positions:

2019 (Fall Semester), CTLS London, UK, co-director of the courses. Course taught: Transnational litigation

2018 (Fall Semester), CTLS London, UK, visiting professor, courses taught: Transnational Litigation, European Civil Procedure

2017 (Spring Semester) CTLS London, uK, visiting professor, course taught "Transnational Litigation"

2016 (July-September) Max Planck Institut für ausländischen und internationales Privatrecht, Hamburg, Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow. Tutor: Prof. Dr. LL M. Jürgen Basedow

2014 (September) McGill, Faculty of Law, Canada (visiting scholar)

2014 (Juanuary) Max Planck Institut for International, European and Regulatory Procedural Law, Luxembourg (visiting scholar, guest program)

2011 (November)- 2012 (Januar): Visiting Professor, DAAD Fellowship, Heidelberg University

2011 (August): Visiting scholar, Queen Mary Law School, London

2010 (Fall Semester): Visiting scholar, Columbia Law School, New York. Tutor: Prof. G. Bermann

2009 (January-October) Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow by Prof. Dr. LL M. Jürgen Basedow, Max Planck Institut für ausländischen und internationales Privatrecht, Hamburg

2007 (July- September) Visiting researcher Max Planck Institut für ausländisches und internationales Privatrecht, Hamburg, Recipient of a scholarship awarded by the Max Planck Institut

2004 PhD Magna cum Laude University of Rom "La Sapienza" with the following research project: Il riconoscimento delle sentenze straniere in Italia (Recognition of foreign judgments in Italy)

2000-2003 Recipient of a three years Ph. D Scholarship granted by the University of Rom "La Sapienza"

2003 (summer) Study of French language at University "La Sorbonne" Paris

1999 Visiting researcher, University of Bielefeld, Germany (Prof. Dr. W. Grunsky), Recipient of a scholarship awarded by the Cassa di Risparmio di Firenze-Fondazione Cesifin

1991-1996 Study of Law at University of Pisa

Editorial Positions:

Member of the board of "Rivista dell'Arbitrato" , Giuffrè
Member of the board of "Giurisprudenza Italiana, Wolter Kluwer

Memberships:

Member of the Italian Association of Civil Procedure Scholars (Associazione italiana tra gli studiosi del processo civile) 2008-present
Member of the Association "Italian Friends of Alexander von Humbold Foundation" (Associazione italiana Alexander von Humboldt) 2012-present
Member of the German Association of International Civil Procedure(Wissenschaftlichen Vereinigung für Internationales Verfahrensrecht e.V.) 2011-present
Member of the European Law Institute (ELI) 2013-present

Languages:

English, German and Spanish: fluent
French: basic

Orgsanisational skills and competence:

Coordinator of the JUST-JTRA-EJTR-AG-2017 project "LAWtrain (1.1.2019- 31.12.2020).

Coordinator of the Compagnia San Paolo's financed project on Third party litigation funding in Italy -FUNDIT" (1.1.2017- 31.10.2019)

Coordinator of the JUST/2014/JTRA/AG/EJTR/6771 project "European Civil Procedure for Lawyers: Promoting Training to Improve the Effectiveness of Transnational Justice" (1.1.2015-31.10.2017)

Co-author (together with professor C. Poncib) of the EU Parliament Study on "Responsible litigation funding. State of play on the EU private litigation funding landscape".

2. GIROLAMI Matilde
Graduated with honours in 1996 from the Faculty of Law of Padua. PhD in 2002 and enrolled in the Padua Bar since December 1999. Researcher since 1 January 2004, Associate Professor since 2011, she has been Full Professor of Private Law at the University of Padua since September 2015.
She currently holds the course of "Institutions of Private Law 2" and the course of "Law and Practice of Contracts" in the curriculum of the Degree Course in Law.
Previously, she also taught the course "Patentability", the course "Agricultural Law" and the course "Consumer Law", as well as teaching modules at the School of Specialisation for the Legal Professions of the Universities of Padua, Ferrara, Trieste and Venice - Ca' Foscari and at the Professional Training School for Chartered Accountants in Padua.
She has spent several periods of specialisation abroad, at the Universities of Heidelberg (Prof. Erik Jayme), Cambridge (Summer Course in English legal methods), Berlin (Humboldt) and Paris (Paris II - Panthéon Assas - Prof. Denis Mazeaud).
She is currently responsible for the internationalisation of the School of Law as well as for a double degree programme in Law with the University of Paris II, Panthéon-Assas. There she teaches a course on Introduction au droit privé italien. She is a member of the Association Henri Capitant pour la Culture Juridique Française.
Since the academic year 2010/2011 she has been teaching at the Faculty of Law of the Leopold Franzens-Universität-Innsbruck, where she now holds a course in civil law as part of the Studienplan für das Integrierte Diplomstudium der Rechtswissenschaften an der Universität Innsbruck gemeinsam mit der Universität Padua. Since the academic year 2013/2014, for several years, she has held the course of Institutions of Private Law at the Faculty of Economics (Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaft - SoWi) of the same University.
She is a fellow of the European Law Institute (ELI).
She is a member of the Associazione Civilisti Italiani and of the Società Italiana degli Studiosi del Diritto Civile.
She is a member of both national and local funded research groups, for the latter she is also in charge.
She has been a member of the scientific secretariat of several national and international conferences.
From the academic year 2005/2006 to the academic year 2011/2012, she held the post of "extra-institutional Galilean tutor" in the legal disciplines at the Moral Sciences class of the Scuola Galileiana di Studi Superiori of the University of Padua. Since the academic year 20015/2016 she has been a member of the Board of Directors of the Scuola Galileiana di Studi Superiori of the University of Padua.
She is currently Pro-Rector for Fellowships and student services of the University of Padua.
She is member of the Board of the Rivista di diritto civile.
She is the author of several essays and two monographic works entitled "Le nullità di protezione nel sistema delle invalidità negoziali (per una teoria della moderna nullità relativa)" and "L'artificio della causa contractus". She contributes to widely circulated works, such as Commentaries Breviaria Iuris, published by Cedam, and other Treatises and Commentaries, published by Utet Giuridica.

3. FRANZINA Pietro
Pietro Franzina (1971) is a Full Professor of International Law at the Catholic University of the Sacred Heart in Milan since 2020. He teaches Private International Law, Transnational Law and the Law of International Arbitration. He is the Director of the University's Institute of International Studies (since 2021).

EDUCATION
He earned a five-year Degree in Law (Laurea in Giurisprudenza) from the University of Ferrara (1998) and a PhD in International Law from the University of Padova (2003).

PREVIOUS POSTS AND TEACHING
He served as a post-doc and as a researcher at the University of Ferrara (2005-2020), where he has been teaching Private International Law, Public International Law, International Institutional Law and the Law of International Commercial Contracts.
He also taught at the Ca' Foscari University of Venice, the University of Verona (Vicenza campus) and the Magna Graecia University of Catanzaro. He taught as a visiting professor in Belgium, Brazil and Spain.
He served as a trainer for the European Judicial Training Network and for the Academy of European Law (ERA). He contributed both as a trainer and as a scientific coordinator to courses of the Italian School of the Judiciary (2013-2022).
He was appointed director of studies in the framework of the Private International Law session of the Summer Course of 2005 of the Hague Academy of International Law. In 2018 he was part of the teaching staff of the Academy's external programme in Singapore. He gave a special course at the Summer Course of the Academy of 2021, under the title "Le droit international privé et le temps".

RESEARCH ACTIVITY
Pietro Franzina's main scientific interests are in the field of private international law. He is the author of two monographs and some 200 papers, among journal articles and book chapters. He is the editor, or co-editor, of eleven collective works (the most recent of which include: S. Forlati, P. Franzina (eds) Universal Civil Jurisdiction - Which Way Forward? (Brill 2020); I Viarengo, P. Franzina (eds), The EU Regulations on the Property Regimes of International Couples – A Commentary (Edward Elgar 2020); P. Franzina (ed), The External Dimension of EU Private International Law After Opinion 1/13 (Intersentia 2017).
He sits in the scientific or editorial boards of various journals (Rivista di diritto internazionale privato e processuale, Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional, etc.). He was the scientific coordinator of some twenty scientific events.
He is the co-author of two studies commissioned by the European Parliament: 'The Hague Conference on Private International Law Judgments Convention' together with P. de Miguel Asensio (co-ordinator), G. Cuniberti, C. Heinze and M. Requejo Isidro, European Union 2018, and 'The Protection of Vulnerable Adults in EU Member States. The Added Value of EU Action in the Light of The Hague Adults Convention', together with J. Long, in C. Salm, Protection of Vulnerable Adults – European Added Value Assessment (European Union 2016). He is also the co-author of two studies prepared upon request of the European Commission ('Study on the Rome II Regulation (EC) 864/2007 on the law applicable to non-contractual obligations', together with S. Migliorini, E. Lein, C. Bonzé, et al., European Union 2021, and 'Study on the cross border legal protection of vulnerable adults in the EU', together with L. Adriaenssens, C. Borrett, S. Fialon, N. Rass-Masson and I. Sumner, forthcoming).
He acted as co-rapporteur for a project of the European Law Institute titled 'The Protection of Adults in International Situations' (2017-2020).
He is among the founding members of the European Association of Private International Law, and serves as a Deputy-Secretary General for the same Association (since 2019).

THIRD-MISSION ACTIVITIES
Upon appointment of the Italian Ministry of Justice, Pietro Franzina has been serving as an expert for the national contact points of the European Judicial Network in Civil and Commercial Matters (2011-2019).
On behalf of the Ministry of Justice, he took part in the Italian delegation at several meetings in the framework of the Hague Conference on Private International Law (2018-2022), including the diplomatic session which resulted in the adoption of the Convention of 2 July 2019 on the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments in civil or commercial matters.
He also represents Italy, upon appointment of the Ministry of Justice, in two working groups in the framework of the Hague Conference on Private International Law: the Working Group on the development of a draft Practical Handbook under the 2000 Protection of Adults Convention (since 2021), and the Working Group on Jurisdiction (since 2021).
On behalf of the Italian National Ombudsperson for Children, he attended the Committee on the Rights of the Child (CAHENF) of the Council of Europe (2016).
He sits in the Tavolo Nazionale sui Diritti delle Persone Fragili, an initiative launched by the Italian Ministry of Justice and the Italian Ministry of Disability aimed to discuss possible reforms regarding vulnerable adults (since 2021).
He served as a court-appointed expert for various Italian Tribunals (the Tribunals of Milan, Naples and Padova, among others) charged with deciding cases governed by a foreign law.
Since 2019 he sits in the Committee for European and International Affairs of the Italian Council of Notaries, and in the EU Law Committee of the Milan Bar Council.

DISTINCTIONS
Pietro Franzina was awarded the 2015 SIDI Prize of the Italian Society of International Law.

3. Main Principal Investigator's scientific publications (Max. 20)

1. Bacciardi Edoardo, Bargelli Elena (2021). Medical Liability in Italy after the "Gelli-Bianco" reform (Law 8 March 2017, No. 24). GDANSKIE STUDIA PRAWNICZE, vol. 1, p. 101-118, ISSN: 1734-5669 - **Articolo in rivista**
2. Elena Bargelli (2021). Locazione abitativa e sostenibilità del canone oltre l'emergenza. JUS CIVILE, vol. 1, p. 82-101, ISSN: 2421-2563 - **Articolo in rivista**
3. elena bargelli (2021). "Divorzio privato" e "autonomia preventiva". RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, p. 250-278, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
4. Elena Bargelli (2020). Italy. EUROPEAN TORT LAW YEARBOOK, p. 314-330, ISSN: 2190-7773 - **Articolo in rivista**
5. Elena Bargelli (2019). □ La costituzionalizzazione del diritto privato attraverso il diritto europeo. Il right to respect for the home ai sensi dell'art. 8 CEDU. EUROPA E DIRITTO PRIVATO, p. 51-93, ISSN: 1720-4542 - **Articolo in rivista**
6. Elena Bargelli (2021). The Interaction between Family Law, Succession Law and Private International Law: An Introduction. In: (a cura di): Elena Bargelli Jens Scherpe, The Interaction between Family Law, Sucvcession Law and Private International Law. Adapting to Change. p. 1-9, Cambridge:Intersentia, ISBN: 978-1-78068-984-5 - **Breve introduzione**
7. Elena Bargelli (2021). Il problema del danno meramente patrimoniale. In: (a cura di): Emanuela Navarretta, Codice della responsabilità civile. p. 980-991, MILANO:giuffre, ISBN: 9788828806431 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
8. Elena Bargelli (2021). L'ingiustizia del danno. In: (a cura di): Emanuela Navarretta, Codice della responsabilità civile. p. 806-831, MILANO:giuffre, ISBN: 9788828806431 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
9. Elena Bargelli (2021). Lesione del credito da parte diterzo. In: (a cura di): Emanuela Navarretta, Codice della responsabilità civile. p. 850-861, Milano:giuffré, ISBN: 9788828806431 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
10. Elena Bargelli (2021). Lesione di interessi atipici. In: (a cura di): Emanuela Navarretta, Codice della responsabilità civile. p. 974-978, MILANO:giuffre, ISBN: 9788828806431 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
11. Elena Bargelli (2021). Massen- und Streuschaeden aus Europaeischer Perspektive. In: Autori vari. (a cura di): Stefan Huber e Jens Kleinschmidt, Die Reform des franzoesischen Haftungsrechts im europaeischen Kontext. p. 143-160, Tubinga:Mohr Siebeck, ISBN: 978-3-16-160141-5 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
12. Elena Bargelli, Morello Filippo (2021). Il fatto illecito. In: (a cura di): Emanuela Navarretta, Codice della responsabilità civile. p. 743-765, MILANO:giuffre, ISBN: 9788828806431 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
13. elena bargelli (2021). Restituzioni contrattuali e nuove fonti interne e eurounitarie. In: (a cura di): Luigi Garofalo, Studi sulle restituzioni. p. 145-161, NAPOLI:JOVENE, ISBN: 9788824327220 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
14. Elena Bargelli (2020). Divorzio privato e prospettive dell'autonomia privata. In: Autori vari. (a cura di): Ugo Salanitro, Quale diritto di famiglia per la società del XXI secolo?. p. 363-388, Pisa:Pacini giuridica, ISBN: 978-88-3379-225-5 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
15. Bargelli, Elena, Bianchi, Ranieri (2018). Black market and residential tenancy contracts in housing in southern Europe: new trends in private law measures. In: S.N. Aznar E. Bargelli R. Bianchi M. Drofenik M.O. Garcia M. Habdas M.E.A. Haffner J. Hegedüs V. Horváth A. Hussar M. Jordan J. Juul-Sandberg A. Klopp I. Kull Š. Mežnar H.S. Moreno P. Norberg G. Panek E.M. Roig C.U. Schmid K. Xerri. (a cura di): C. Schmid, Tenancy Law and Housing Policy in Europe Towards Regulatory Equilibrium. p. 117-146, Elgar Edgar Publishing, ISBN: 978 1 78811 397 7 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
16. Elena Bargelli (2018). L'ACCORDO DEI CONIUGI
NELLA NEGOZIAZIONE ASSISTITA
E NEL PROCEDIMENTO MUNICIPALE:
IL DIVORZIO PER MUTUO CONSENSO
FA IL SUO INGRESSO NELL'ORDINAMENTO. In: (a cura di): GILDA FERRANDO - MARCELLA FORTINO - FRANCESCO RUSCELLO, Trattato di diritto di famiglia. p. 269-291, Milano:Giuffré Francis Lefebvre, ISBN: 9788828805489 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
17. Elena Bargelli (2018). Postcontratto. In: Le parole del diritto. Scritti in onore di Carlo Castronovo. vol. II, p. 1163-1184, NAPOLI:JOVENE, ISBN: 9788824325912 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
18. Elena Bargelli, Giulia Donadio (2018). The Impact of Directive 2014/17/EU in Italy. In: Miriam Anderson;Esther Arroyo Amayuelas. (a cura di): Miriam Anderson;Esther Arroyo Amayuelas, The Impact of the Mortgage Credit Directive in Europe. p. 304-328, AMSTERDAM:Europa Law Publishing, ISBN: 9789089521989 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
19. NAVARRETTA, EMANUELA, BARGELLI, ELENA (2016). The Influence of Human Rights and Basic Rights in Italian Private Law: Strategies of 'Constitutionalitation' in the Courts Practice. In: (a cura di): Trstenjak Verica;Weingerl Petra, The Influence of Human Rights and Basic Rights in Private Law. vol. 1, p. 421-437, Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London:Springer, ISBN: 978-3-319-25335-0, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-25337-4 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
20. Elena Bargelli, Valentina Calderai, Emanuela Navarretta (a cura di) (2020). Ragioni del diritto e ragionamenti nel diritto. Di V. Calderai, E. Navarretta, E. Bargelli. PISA:Pisa University Press, ISBN: 9788833393605 - **Curatela**

4. Main scientific publications of the associated investigators (Max. 20, for each research unit)

1. **D'ALESSANDRO Elena**
    1. Elena D'Alessandro (2021). Brevi riflessioni sul rinvio pregiudiziale interpretativo operato dalle Sezioni unite in riferimento all'art. 111, 8°comma, Cost.. IL GIUSTO PROCESSO CIVILE, p. 141-153, ISSN: 1828-311X - **Articolo in rivista**
    2. elena d'alessandro (2021). La riforma della giustizia civile secondo il Piano nazionale di ripresa e resilienza e gli emendamenti governativi al d.d.l. n. 1662/S/XVIII. GIUSTIZIA INSIEME, p. 1-7, ISSN: 2036-5993 - **Articolo in rivista**
    3. elena d'alessandro, cristina poncibò (2021). European Parliamentary research service, Responsible private funding of litigation. European Added value assessment.. RIVISTA TRIMESTRALE DI DIRITTO E PROCEDURA CIVILE, p. 919-924, ISSN: 0391-1896 - **Articolo in rivista**
    4. Elena D'Alessandro (2020). La Cassazione civile utile. A proposito di un recente saggio di Paolo Biavati. Intervista di Roberto Conti a Elena D'Alessandro, Massimo Ferro e Mario Serio. GIUSTIZIA INSIEME, vol. 12 ottobre 2020, p. 1-43, ISSN: 2036-5993 - **Articolo in rivista**
    5. elena d'alessandro (2020). EDU e cultura giuridica italiana. La CEDU e i processualcivilisti. GIUSTIZIA INSIEME, p. 1-42, ISSN: 2036-5993 - **Articolo in rivista**
    6. D'Alessandro Elena (2015). La negoziazione assistita in materia di separazione e divorzio. GIURISPRUDENZA ITALIANA, p. 1278-1287, ISSN: 1125-3029 - **Articolo in rivista**
    7. D'Alessandro Elena (2015). Negoziazione assistita in materia di separazione e divorzio. Profili di interesse processualcivilistico. MINORI GIUSTIZIA, p. 156-164, ISSN: 1121-2845 - **Articolo in rivista**
    8. Elena D'Alessandro (2011). Il conferimento dell'esecutività al verbale di conciliazione stragiudiziale e la sua circolazione all'interno dello spazio giudiziario europeo. RIVISTA TRIMESTRALE DI DIRITTO E PROCEDURA CIVILE, vol. 4, p. 1157-1178, ISSN: 0391-1896 - **Articolo in rivista**
    9. E. D'ALESSANDRO (2010). Choosing Among the Three Regulations Creating a European Enforcement Order (EEO Regulation, EOP Regulation, ESCP Regulation): Practical Guidelines. INT'L LIS, vol. -, p. 39-50, ISSN: 1594-7955 - **Articolo in rivista**
    10. E. D'ALESSANDRO (2001). 21. Sulla giurisdizione nel caso di domanda di un coniuge di scioglimento del matrimonio proposta davanti al giudice italiano e successivamente, da parte dell' altro coniuge, davanti al giudice tedesco, osservazione a Cass., sez. un., 8 febbraio 2001, n. 47. GIUSTIZIA CIVILE, vol. I, ISSN: 0017-0631 - **Articolo in rivista**
    11. Elena D'Alessandro (2021). Commento all' art. 337-quinquies. In: (a cura di): Salvatore Patti Liliana Rossi Carleo, Art. 337 bis-337 octies cod. civ. e art. 709 ter cod. proc. civ. Esercizio della responsabilità genitoriale. Commentario del Codice Civile Scialoja Branca. p. 203-209, BOLOGNA:Zanichelli, ISBN: 9788808321015 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
    12. Elena D'Alessandro (2021). Commento all' art. 337-septies. Capitolo II. Profili processuali.. In: (a cura di): Salvatore Patti Liliana Rossi Carleo, Art. 337 bis-337 octies cod. civ. e art. 709 ter cod. proc. civ. Esercizio della responsabilità genitoriale. Commentario del Codice Civile Scialoja Branca,. p. 309-326, BOLOGNA:Zanichelli, ISBN: 9788808321015 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
    13. Elena D'Alessandro (2019). Personal Participation and In Absentia Trials in Civil Proceedings Imposing Pecuniary Penalties within the European Judicial Area. In: (a cura di): Serena Quattrocolo Stefano Ruggeri, Personal Participation in Criminal Proceedings. A Comparative Study of Participatory Safeguards and in absentia Trials in Europe. p. 511-524, HEIDELBERG:SPRINGER, ISBN: 978-3-030-01186-4 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
    14. E. D'ALESSANDRO (2010). La titolarità del diritto all'assegno in capo al figlio maggiorenne. Aspetti processuali.. In: PATTI SALVATORE;ROSSI CARLEO LILIANA. (a cura di): PATTI SALVATORE;ROSSI CARLEO LILIANA, Commentario Scialoja Branca al codice civile. Artt. 155-155 sexies. vol. I, p. 349-365, BOLOGNA:Zanichelli, ISBN: 9788808069795 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
    15. E. D'ALESSANDRO (2010). Provvedimenti relativi alla prole. Aspetti processuali.. In: PATTI SALVATORE;ROSSI CARLEO LILIANA. (a cura di): PATTI SALVATORE;ROSSI CARLEO LILIANA, Commentario Scialoja-Branca al codice civile.Artt. 155-155 sexies. Provvedimenti riguardo ai figli. vol. I, p. 145-223, BOLOGNA:Zanichelli, ISBN: 9788808069795 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
    16. E. D'ALESSANDRO (2006). L' affidamento condiviso: profili di interesse processuale. In: SALVATORE PATTI;LILIANA ROSSI CARLEO. L'affidamento condiviso. p. 267-312, MILANO:Giuffré, ISBN: 8814126534 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
2. **GIROLAMI Matilde**
    1. Girolami Matilde (2021). Dalla crisi dell'amministrazione di sostegno al mandato di protezione: un bilancio de iure condendo. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, p. 854-906, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
    2. Girolami (2020). Die Wirksamkeit der zur Ungültigkeit führenden Rechtsbehelfe im italienischen Recht - Überlegungen zum Gesetzesentwurf des Ermächtigungsgesetzes Nr. 1151/2019 . ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR VERGLEICHENDE

RECHTSWISSENSCHAFT, p. 450-467, ISSN: 0044-3638 - **Articolo in rivista**
3. M. GIROLAMI (2020). Ragioni economiche del settore bancario-finanziario e funzione nomofilattica della cassazione. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO BANCARIO, p. 565-589, ISSN: 2279-9737 - **Articolo in rivista**
4. M. Girolami (2020). L'USO SELETTIVO DELLA NULLITÀ DI PROTEZIONE: UN FALSO PROBLEMA?. LA NUOVA GIURISPRUDENZA CIVILE COMMENTATA, p. 154-159, ISSN: 1593-7305 - **Articolo in rivista**
5. M. Girolami (2019). La fiera delle nullità. ANNUARIO DEL CONTRATTO ..., p. 63-85, ISSN: 2239-7647 - **Articolo in rivista**
6. M. Girolami (2019). La procura degli incapaci. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, p. 1388-1410, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
7. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2017). Contratti di investimento non sottoscritti dall'intermediario: la parola alle Sezioni Unite.. BANCA BORSA E TITOLI DI CREDITO, p. 535-567, ISSN: 0390-9522 - **Articolo in rivista**
8. Girolami Matilde (2017). Libertà testamentaria e captazione nel pensiero di Alberto Trabucchi. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, p. 1180-1205, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
9. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2016). I testamenti suggeriti. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, p. 562-586, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
10. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2015). Le nullità dell'art. 127 T.U.B. (con l'obiter delle Sezioni Unite 2014). BANCA BORSA E TITOLI DI CREDITO, p. 172-214, ISSN: 0390-9522 - **Articolo in rivista**
11. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2014). Etichettatura, informazioni e rimedi privatistici nella vendita di prodotti alimentari ai consumatori. LE NUOVE LEGGI CIVILI COMMENTATE, p. 139-168, ISSN: 0391-3740 - **Articolo in rivista**
12. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2012). Modernità e tradizione nel diritto dei contratti: i progetti di riforma del Code Napoléon nella prospettiva del giurista italiano. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO CIVILE, vol. 2, parte I, p. 243-292, ISSN: 0035-6093 - **Articolo in rivista**
13. GIROLAMI M. (2020). Commento sistematico agli artt. 1936-1957 c.c.. In: AA.VV.. (a cura di): Giorgio Cian, Commentario Breve al Codice Civile. BREVIARIA IURIS, p. 2081-2104, Milano:WKI - Wolters Kluwer, ISBN: 9788813372217, ISSN: 1972-0564 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
14. M. Girolami (2020). La tutela dei minori - Commento agli artt. 343-357 c.c.. In: AA.VV.. (a cura di): A. Zaccaria, Commentario Breve al Diritto di famiglia 4 ed.. p. 894-920, Milanofiori:WKI - Cedam, ISBN: 9788813369200 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
15. M. Girolami (2020). La vulnerabilité économique en Italie. In: AA.VV.. La vulnerabilité - Journées québécoises. Travaux de l'Association Henri Capitant. vol. Tome LXVIII/2018, p. 799-807, Bruxelles - Paris:Bruylant et LB2V, ISBN: 9782802767886 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
16. M. Girolami (2019). Solidarity in Italian Family Law - La solidarité en droit italien de la famille. In: AA.VV.. (a cura di): Denis Mazeaud, Solidarity/La solidarité - Law Revue Henri Capitant/Henri Capitant Revue de droit. vol. 13/2018, p. 23-34, Issy-les-Moulineaux Cedex:Lextenso, ISBN: 9782359712360 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
17. Girolami Matilde (2018). Rilevanza dell'attività captatoria nella redazione del testamento. In: Aa.Vv. (a cura di): Carlo Granelli, I nuovi orientamenti della Cassazione Civile. p. 138-147, Milano:giuffré, ISBN: 9788814229770 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
18. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2012). L'artificio della causa contractus. p. 1-236, PADOVA:CEDAM, ISBN: 9788813332969 - **Monografia o trattato scientifico**
19. GIROLAMI, MATILDE (2008). Le nullità di protezione nel sistema delle invalidità negoziali (per una teoria della moderna nullità relativa). p. 1-465, PADOVA:CEDAM, ISBN: 9788813281052 - **Monografia o trattato scientifico**
20. girolami (2021). Nullità di protezione. In: AA.VV.. (a cura di): Giovanni D'Amico, Enciclopedia del Diritto - CONTRATTO. vol. I - I Tematici, p. 701-727, Milano:Giuffré Francis Lefebvre, ISBN: 9788828819158 - **Voce (in dizionario o enciclopedia)**

3. **FRANZINA Pietro**

1. Franzina, P. (2019). Sangue, suolo e cultura: declinazioni dell'idea di appartenenza nel diritto internazionale privato. DIRITTI UMANI E DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE, vol. 2019, p. 85-98, ISSN: 1971-7105, doi: 10.12829/93315 - **Articolo in rivista**
2. Franzina, P. (2018). Jurisdiction in Matters Relating to Property Regimes Under EU Private International Law. YEARBOOK OF PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW, vol. 19, p. 159-194, ISSN: 1566-6352 - **Articolo in rivista**
3. Franzina, P. (2016). La scelta tacita della legge applicabile al contratto secondo il regolamento Roma I. CUADERNOS DE DERECHO TRANSNACIONAL, vol. 8, p. 221-239, ISSN: 1989-4570 - **Articolo in rivista**
4. Franzina, P. (2015). La Convenzione dell'Aja sulla protezione degli adulti nella prospettiva della ratifica italiana. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE, vol. 98, p. 748-814, ISSN: 0035-6158 - **Articolo in rivista**
5. Franzina, P. (2014). Lis Pendens Involving a Third Country Under the Brussels I-bis Regulation: an Overview. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE PRIVATO E PROCESSUALE, vol. 50, p. 23-42, ISSN: 0035-6174 - **Articolo in rivista**
6. Franzina, P. (2011). L'autonomia della volontà nel regolamento sui conflitti di leggi in materia di separazione e divorzio. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE, vol. 94, p. 488-496, ISSN: 0035-6158 - **Articolo in rivista**
7. Franzina, P. (2011). Some Remarks on the Relevance of Article 8 of the ECHR to the Recognition of Family Status Judicially Created Abroad. DIRITTI UMANI E DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE, vol. 5, p. 609-616, ISSN: 1971-7105 - **Articolo in rivista**
8. Franzina, P. (2010). Struttura e funzionamento del foro europeo della materia contrattuale alla luce delle sentenze Car Trim e Wood Floor della Corte di giustizia. RIVISTA DI DIRITTO INTERNAZIONALE PRIVATO E PROCESSUALE, vol. 46, p. 655-684, ISSN: 0035-6174 - **Articolo in rivista**
9. Franzina, P. (2020). Article 8 - Applicable Law in the Absence of a Choice by the Parties. In: Boiché A.;Carpaneto L.;Chalas C.;Corneloup S.;Dominelli S.;Franzina P.;González Beilfuss C.;Gössl S.L.;Hammje P.;Heiderhoff B.;Jault-Seseke F.;Joubert N.;Kruger T.;Rupp C.S.;Verhellen J.. (a cura di): Corneloup S., The Rome III Regulation - A Commentary on the Law Applicable to Divorce and Legal Separation. p. 92-112, CHELTENHAM:Edward Elgar Publishing, ISBN: 9781788975773 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
10. Franzina, P. (2020). Italy. In: Ancel M.-E.;Anthimos A.;Babić D.;van Bochove L. M.;Bříza P;Czepelak M.;Fillers A.;Franzina P.;Fronczak E.;Galič A.;Grušić U.;Guinchard E;Hokr T.;Nagy C.I.;Judova E.;Kačevska I.;Kadner Graziano T.;Kramberger Škerl J.;Levrinc M.;Markou C.;Mikelėnas V.;Natov V.;Ní Shúilleabháin M.;Pandov V.;Patrão A.;Reymond M. J.;Sancho-Villa D.;Van Calster G.;Walter S.;Weller M.;Zgrabljić Rotar D.. (a cura di): Guinchard E., Rome I and Rome II in Practice. p. 293-348, Oxford:Intersentia, ISBN: 9781780686714 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
11. Franzina, P. (2020). The Changing Face of Adjudicatory Jurisdiction. In: (a cura di): Forlati S.;Franzina P., Universal Civil Jurisdiction - Which Way Forward?. p. 170-187, LEIDEN:Brill, ISBN: 9789004408562, doi: 10.1163/9789004408579_011 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
12. Franzina, P. (2020). The Integrated Approach to Private and Public International Law - A Distinctive Feature of Italian Legal Thinking. In: Di Ruzza T.;Di Stefano G.;Gradoni L.;Greppi E.;Ingravallo I.;Kolb R.;Marchisio S.;Milano E.;Mura E.;Palchetti P.;Rech W.;Scovazzi T.;Sossai M.;Storti C.;Tancredi A.;Virzo R.. (a cura di): Bartolini G., A History of International Law in Italy. p. 262-282, OXFORD:Oxford University Press, ISBN: 9780198842934, doi: 10.1093/oso/9780198842934.003.0011 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
13. Franzina, P. (2020). The Substantive Validity of Forum Selection Agreements under the Brussels Ibis Regulation. In: Arons T.;Bollée S.;Dornis T.;Garber T.;Heinze C.;Leandro A.;Loacker L.D.;Mankowski P.;Marongiu Buonaiuti;Meeusen J.;Moura Vicente D.;Payan G.;van Hoek A.;Warmuth C.;Winkler M.. (a cura di): Mankowski P., Research Handbook on the Brussels Ibis Regulation. p. 95-117, CHELTENHAM:Edward Elgar, ISBN: 9781788110785 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
14. Franzina, P. (2019). The Relationship Between EU Legislation and International Instruments in the Field of Private International Law. In: (a cura di): von Hein J.;Kieninger E.-M.;Rühl G., How European is European Private International Law?. p. 19-52, Cambridge:Intersentia, ISBN: 9781780686981 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
15. Franzina, P. (2016). Jurisdiction Regarding Claims for the Infringement of Privacy Rights under the General Data Protection Regulation. In: (a cura di): De Franceschi A., European Contract Law and the Digital Single Market. p. 81-108, Cambridge:Intersentia, ISBN: 9781780684222 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
16. Franzina, P. (2015). Article 36. In: (a cura di): Dickinson A.;Lein E., The Brussels I Regulation Recast. p. 373-392, OXFORD:Oxford University Press, ISBN: 9780198714286 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
17. Franzina, P. (2014). L'universalisation partielle du régime européen de la compétence en matière civile et commerciale dans le règlement Bruxelles I bis: une mise en perspective. In: (a cura di): Guinchard E., Le nouveau règlement Bruxelles I bis. p. 39-82, BRUXELLES:Bruylant, ISBN: 9782802742326 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
18. Franzina, P. (2013). Ragioni, valori e collocazione sistematica della disciplina internazionalprivatistica europea delle successioni mortis causa. In: (a cura di): Franzina P.;Leandro A., Il diritto internazionale privato europeo delle successioni mortis causa. p. 1-24, MILANO:Giuffrè, ISBN: 9788814181450 - **Contributo in volume (Capitolo o Saggio)**
19. Franzina, P., Frimston, R. (2020). The Protection of Adults in International Situations. Vienna:European Law Institute, ISBN: 9783950454925 - **Monografia o trattato scientifico**
20. Franzina, P. (2012). La protezione degli adulti nel diritto internazionale privato. PADOVA:CEDAM, ISBN: 9788813333201 - **Monografia o trattato scientifico**

5. Main staff involved (max 10 professors/researchers for each research unit, in addition to the PI or associated investigator), highlighting the time commitment expected

List of the Research Units

Unit 1 - BARGELLI Elena

Personnel of the research unit

nº	Surname Name	Qualification	University/ Research Institution	e-mail address	Months/person expected
1.	BARGELLI Elena	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università di PISA	elena.bargelli@unipi.it	3,0
2.	BACCIARDI Edoardo	Ricercatore a t.d. - t.pieno (art. 24 c.3-a L. 240/10)	Università di PISA	EDOARDO.BACCIARDI@UNIPI.IT	1,0
3.	FAVILLI Chiara	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università di PISA	chiara.favilli@unipi.it	3,0
4.	AZZARRI Federico	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università di PISA	FEDERICO.AZZARRI@UNIPI.IT	3,0

Possible sub-unit

Surname	Name	Qualification	e-mail address	Months/person expected

Unit 2 - D'ALESSANDRO Elena

Personnel of the research unit

nº	Surname Name	Qualification	University/ Research Institution	e-mail address	Months/person expected
1.	D'ALESSANDRO Elena	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di TORINO	elena.dalessandro@gmail.com	1,5
2.	LONG Joelle	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di TORINO	joelle.long@unito.it	1,0

Unit 3 - GIROLAMI Matilde

Personnel of the research unit

nº	Surname Name	Qualification	University/ Research Institution	e-mail address	Months/person expected
1.	GIROLAMI Matilde	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di PADOVA	matilde.girolami@unipd.it	3,0
2.	CINQUE Maddalena	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di PADOVA	maddalena.cinque@unipd.it	3,0
3.	CEOLIN Matteo	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di PADOVA	matteo.ceolin@unipd.it	3,0
4.	PICCINNI Mariassunta	Professore Associato (L. 240/10)	Università degli Studi di PADOVA	mariassunta.piccinni@unipd.it	3,0

Unit 4 - FRANZINA Pietro

Personnel of the research unit

nº	Surname Name	Qualification	University/ Research Institution	e-mail address	Months/person expected
1.	FRANZINA Pietro	Professore Ordinario (L. 240/10)	Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore	pietro.franzina@unicatt.it	4,0

6. Information on the new contracts for personnel to be specifically recruited

nº	Associated or principal investigator	Number of expected RTD contracts	Number of research grants expected	Number of PhD scholarships expected	Overall expected time commitment (months)
1.	BARGELLI Elena	0	1	0	15
2.	D'ALESSANDRO Elena	0	1	0	15
3.	GIROLAMI Matilde	0	1	0	15
4.	FRANZINA Pietro	0	1	0	12
	Total	**0**	**4**	**0**	**57**

7. PI "Do No Significant Harm (DNSH)" declaration, in compliance with article n. 17, EU Regulation 852/2020. (upload PDF)

Upload:

"The data contained in the application for funding are processed exclusively for carrying out the institutional functions of MUR. The CINECA, Department of Services for MUR, is data controller. The consultation is also reserved to universities, research institutes and institutions (each for its respective competence), MUR - Directorate-General Research- Office III, CNVR, CdV, and the reviewers in charge of the evaluation peer review.
MUR also has the right to the dissemination of the main economic and scientific data related to the funded projects.".

Date 31/03/2022 ore 09:30

Questo sito utilizza cookie. Proseguendo la navigazione del sito o confermando tramite il tasto **«OK, accetto»** ne accetti l'utilizzo.
Se vuoi saperne di più e leggere come disabilitarne l'uso clicca qui.
OK, accetto